# Structure-Guided Design of $A_{3}$ Adenosine Receptor-Selective Nucleosides: Combination of 2-Arylethynyl and Bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane Substitutions 

Dilip K. Tosh,,$^{\dagger, \S}$ Francesca Deflorian, ${ }^{\dagger,}{ }^{\S}$ Khai Phan, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Zhan-Guo Gao, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Tina C. Wan, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Elizabeth Gizewski, ${ }^{\ddagger}$ John A. Auchampach, ${ }^{\ddagger}$ and Kenneth A. Jacobson* ${ }^{*} \dagger$<br>${ }^{\dagger}$ Molecular Recognition Section, Laboratory of Bioorganic Chemistry, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892, United States<br>${ }^{\ddagger}$ Department of Pharmacology, Medical College of Wisconsin, 8701 Watertown Plank Road, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53226, United States

## Supporting Information


#### Abstract

N)\)-Methanocarba adenosine 5 '-methyluronamides containing known $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ AR (adenosine receptor)enhancing modifications, i.e., 2-(arylethynyl)adenine and $N^{6}$ methyl or $N^{6}$-(3-substituted-benzyl), were nanomolar full agonists of human (h) $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ and highly selective ( $K_{\mathrm{i}} \sim 0.6$ $\mathrm{nM}, N^{6}$-methyl 2-(halophenylethynyl) analogues 13 and 14). Combined 2 -arylethynyl- $N^{6}-3$-chlorobenzyl substitutions preserved $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity/selectivity in the ( $N$ )-methanocarba series (e.g., 3,4-difluoro full agonist MRS5698 31, $K_{\mathrm{i}} 3 \mathrm{nM}$, human and mouse $A_{3}$ ) better than that for ribosides. Polyaromatic 2 -ethynyl $N^{6}$-3-chlorobenzyl analogues, such as  potent linearly extended 2-p-biphenylethynyl MRS5679 34 ( $K_{i}$ $\mathrm{hA}_{3} 3.1 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$, inactive) and fluorescent 1-pyrene adduct MRS5704 $35\left(K_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3} 68.3 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, were conformationally rigid; receptor docking identified a large, mainly hydrophobic binding region. The vicinity of receptor-bound C2 groups was probed by homology modeling based on recent X-ray structure of an agonist-bound $A_{2 A} A R$, with a predicted helical rearrangement requiring an agonist-specific outward displacement of TM2 resembling opsin. Thus, the X-ray structure of related $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ is useful in guiding the design of new $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ agonists.


## INTRODUCTION

The structure-activity relationship (SAR) of nucleoside agonists at the $A_{3}$ adenosine receptor (AR), a G proteincoupled receptor (GPCR) of the rhodopsin-like family A, has been extensively explored. ${ }^{1}$ Several $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$-selective agonists are currently in advanced clinical trials for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma, autoimmune inflammatory diseases, ${ }^{2-4}$ such as rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, and dry eye disease, and other conditions. ${ }^{5-8}$ Other potential applications of such agonists could be osteoarthritis, Crohn's disease, ischemia, and other inflammatory disorders. As applied to cancer models, there is evidence that multiple modes of benefit from $A_{3} A R$ agonists are obtained: myeloprotection and reduction of neuropathic pain, in addition to the antiproliferative effects on tumors in vivo. $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ AR-selective antagonists are also of interest for therapeutic applications in asthma, glaucoma, septic shock, and other conditions. ${ }^{9-12}$ Thus, this subtype of $A R$ (others are $A_{1} A R, A_{2 A} A R$, and $A_{2 B} A R$ ) has provided numerous opportunities for translation to therapeutics.

In order to achieve selectivity of adenosine derivatives for the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$, modifications are typically introduced on the $N^{6}$ position
(often $m$-substituted benzyl groups) and on the ribose moiety (often $5^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}$-methyluronamide), such as occur on prototypical agonists 1 and 2 (Chart 1). ${ }^{13}$ Replacement of the conformationally flexible ribose tetrahydrofuryl group with a rigid bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane (methanocarba) ring system in an isomeric form that enforces a North (N) envelope conformation increases both the binding affinity and selectivity of adenosine derivatives as $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ agonists. ${ }^{14-16}$ For example, analogues that combined $N^{6}$-(3-halobenzyl) and ( $N$ )-methanocarba modifications, such as 3 and 4 , display antiinflammatory activity, protection in a model of lung injury, and protection against chemotherapy-induced neuropathic pain. ${ }^{17-19}$ Cristalli and co-workers have explored the SAR leading to enhancement of $A_{2 A} A R$ and $A_{3} A R$ affinity by alkyn-2yl groups, such as hexyn-2-yl, at the adenine C 2 position of adenine 9 -ribosides. ${ }^{20}$ The enhancement of human (h) $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity by such groups was also observed in the ( $N$ )methanocarba series. ${ }^{21}$ Because ligand affinity at the $A_{3} A R$ is often dependent on species, it was particularly important to

[^0]Chart 1. Derivatives of Adenosine as $A_{3} A R-S e l e c t i v e$ Agonists in the Ribose ( 1,2 ) and ( $N$ )-Methanocarba ( 3,4 ) Series

establish the effect in species other than humans. The combination of $N^{6}$-(3-halobenzyl), 2-alkyn-2-yl, and $5^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}$ methyluronamide modifications was found to be particularly well suited for application to agonists displaying $A_{3} A R$ selectivity across species.

There is a precedent for the lack of compatibility in AR recognition of multiple modifications of nucleoside derivatives at the $N^{6}$ (i.e., 3-halobenzyl) and C2 positions (i.e., aryl or large hydrophobic groups), each of which may promote affinity at $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ and other subtypes. ${ }^{13,22}$ There is also indication that $N^{6}$ 3 -(substituted benzyl) and 2-arylethynyl (introduced by Cristalli and colleagues ${ }^{23}$ ) modifications are not additive in their effect on $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ selectivity of 9-ribosides. ${ }^{24}$ The present
study establishes that these two substitutions are fully additive and conducive to high selectivity when applied to ( $N$ )methanocarba adenosine derivatives. We have also used molecular modeling based on a recent X-ray structure of an $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ complex with a $5^{\prime}, 2, N^{6}$ trisubstituted agonist ${ }^{25,26}$ and with insights from other GPCRs ${ }^{26}$ to probe the vicinity of the 2 -arylethynyl groups when docked to the $A_{3} A R$. Thus, the crystallographic structure of one AR subtype is used to guide the design of new analogues at another AR subtype following necessary customization for this ligand set.

## RESULTS

Chemical Synthesis. We have explored 2-arylethynyl substitution of the adenine ring of previously reported ( $N$ )methanocarba 5 '- N -methyluronamide nucleoside derivatives that act as selective $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ agonists. ${ }^{14,15,21}$ Two series, depending on the $N^{6}$ substitution ( $N^{6}$-methyl, 8-26, and $N^{6}$ -3-chlorobenzyl, 27-36), that are closely patterned on known potent agonists are included (Scheme 1). $N^{6}$-Methyladenosines are typically significantly more potent at the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ than at rat (r) $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$, while $N^{6}$-(3-halobenzyl) adenosines tend to display greater species-independent selectivity.

The synthetic route used to prepare ( $N$ )-methanocarba $5^{\prime}-N$ methyluronamido derivatives containing a 2 -arylethynyl group involved a key Sonogashira reaction ${ }^{27}$ at a 2 -iodoadenine moiety of intermediate 43 or 44 (Scheme 1). L-Ribose 38 was converted as previously reported into the $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-protected

Scheme 1. Synthesis of ( $N$ )-Methanocarba Nucleoside Analogues ${ }^{a}$


45a-q, X $=\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \quad 46 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$

e 2-Cl, f 3-Cl, g 4-Cl, h 4-Br, $\quad \mathbf{4 7 a}-\mathbf{f}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=3-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Bn}$
27-32, $34 \mathrm{R}^{1}=3-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Bn}$
m 4-t-Bu, n 4-Ac, o 4-Ph, p 2,3-fused phenyl,


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 33 R^{3}=3 \text {-carboxyphenyl } \\
& 35=1 \text {-pyrene } \\
& 36=4 \text {-pyrene }
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{a} \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}$ or 3-Cl-benzyl. Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}$ or 3-Cl-benzylamine, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt; (ii) $40 \% \mathrm{MeNH}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt; (iii) $\left.\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CAr}, \mathrm{Pd}^{( } \mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, CuI, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}$, rt; (iv) $10 \% \mathrm{TFA}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) 1-ethynylpyrene or 4-ethynylpyrene $51, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{CuI}^{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}$, rt; (vi) $1 \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{HCl}$, dioxane, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
intermediate 39 containing a 5 -ethyl ester, which was then subjected to a Mitsunobu condensation with 2-iodo-6chloropurine to give $40 .{ }^{21,28}$ A $N^{6}$-methyl or $N^{6}$-(3chlorobenzyl) group was added by nucleophilic substitution of 6-chloro at room temperature to provide intermediates 41 and 42, respectively, followed by aminolysis of the ester at room temperature leading to $5^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}$-methyluronamides 43 and 44. A Sonogashira reaction was then carried out with a variety of commercially available arylacetylenes to give protected nucleosides 45-46 ( $N^{6}$-methyl) and 47a-f ( $N^{6}-3$-chlorobenzyl). Finally, acid hydrolysis of the isopropylidene protecting group provided $N^{6}$-methyl 9-26 and $N^{6}$-3-chlorobenzyl 27-36 nucleosides. The associated synthesis of a polyaromatic ethynyl intermediate 51 is shown in Scheme 2.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of an Intermediate Arylalkynyl Derivative ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ (i) $\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{TMS}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{CuI}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}$, rt; (ii) TBAF, THF.

Pharmacological Activity. Radioligand binding assays at three hAR subtypes were carried out using standard ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ( $\mathbf{5 2}$, 53) and ${ }^{125}$ I-labeled (54) nucleosides (Table 1). ${ }^{29-31}$ The membrane preparations were obtained from Chinese hamster ovary ( CHO ) cells ( $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ) or human embryonic kidney (HEK293) cells ( $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ) stably expressing a hAR subtype. ${ }^{28,29,32,33} A_{3} A R$ binding curves generally showed a Hill coefficient of $\sim 1$. The nucleoside analogues were not all screened for activity at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ because other ( $N$ )methanocarba nucleosides were previously noted to be very weak or inactive at that subtype. ${ }^{15}$ For example, in cyclic AMP assays, agonist 3 displayed 30,000-fold selectivity for the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ in comparison to the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$. ${ }^{17}$ Some previously reported 2chloro and 2 -alkynyl ( $N$ )-methanocarba agonists (3-8) were used for comparison in the biological assays. ${ }^{20}$ For exploring species differences in AR ligand recognition, binding assays were also performed on selected nucleoside derivatives at three mouse (m) ARs (Table 2) expressed in HEK293 cells. ${ }^{21,33 \mathrm{~b}}$

The simplest $N^{6}$-methyl 2-phenylethynyl analogue 9 displayed a subnanomolar $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value at the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ and was nearly inactive at the $h A_{1} A R$ and $h A_{2 A} A R$, with $<20 \%$ inhibition of binding at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Therefore, the degree of $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ selectivity of 9 was estimated to be $>10,000$-fold. The effects of phenyl modification of the C2-arylethynyl group at the C2 position were explored initially in the $N^{6}$-methyl $5^{\prime}-N$-methyluronamide series. These derivatives displayed great freedom of substitution of the arylethynyl moiety, i.e., aza substitution of a CH of phenyl (10), monohalo (11-17) and dihalo (19, 20) or other (18, 21-23) substituent groups on the phenyl ring, and the presence of additional aryl rings (24-26). The 3,4-difluorophenyl analogue 19 was particularly $A_{3} A R$-selective with insignificant inhibition at $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ARs. Planar polyaromatic groups, such as $\alpha$-naphthyl 25 and the larger phenanthrene 26, in the $N^{6}$-methyl series did not interfere with the binding to the
$\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$. The presence of a branched $p$ - $t$-Bu group in 22 reduced $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ AR binding affinity by 12 -fold compared to the H analogue 9. The most potent $A_{3} A R$ ligands in the $N^{6}$-methyl series were halo-substituted compounds 13 and 14 with $K_{i}$ values of $0.5-$ 0.6 nM . A $p$-acetyl substitution in 23 was lower in $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity and higher in $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity than most other ring substitutions, unlike in the riboside series of Cristalli and coworkers, ${ }^{23 a}$ in which a $2(-p$-acetylphenylethynyl) group favored $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity and selectivity.

The variability of binding affinity upon substitution of the arylethynyl moiety was greater at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ than at the $h A_{1} A R$. For example, the $h A_{2 A} A R$ affinity increased substantially to a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of $1.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ upon replacement of 3-F $\mathbf{1 2}$ with $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ in 15 . In contrast, the same change slightly decreased $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity. At the $\mathrm{hA}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$, only 10 to $30 \%$ of binding inhibition was typically seen at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for a variety of substitutions.

The SAR was extended by the analysis of 11 analogues prepared in the $N^{6}$-(3-chlorobenzyl) series (27-36). The effect in 27 of adding a phenyl group to the ethynyl substituent of the simpler acetylene derivative $\mathbf{6}$ was complete retention of the affinity at $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ and a reduction of the $\mathrm{hA}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity from $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ $=174 \mathrm{nM}$ to $>10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, thus providing high selectivity. Furthermore, with only marginal binding of 27 at the $h A_{2 A} A R$, the $h A_{3} A R$ selectivity was roughly 10,000 -fold in comparison to both $h A_{1} A R$ and $h A_{2 A} A R$. For comparison, by adding a $n$-propyl chain in 7 rather than a phenyl ring, the $\mathrm{hA}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity was reduced only 6 -fold in comparison to 6 , and the $h A_{2 A} A R$ affinity appeared to be increased. Thus, a flat aryl ring rather than a flexible alkyl chain provides the appropriate geometry for $h A_{3} A R$ selectivity. A potent $A_{3} A R$ ligand 34 in the $N^{6}$-(3-chlorobenzyl) series containing a rigid, linearly extended 2-p-biphenylethynyl group served as a model ligand for receptor docking due to its steric and conformational constraints. It displayed a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value at the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ of 3.06 nM and exceptionally high selectivity in comparison to the $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{ARs}$ (no inhibition observed). The $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinities of biphenyl derivative 34 and the corresponding $N^{6}$-methyl analogue 24 were equal. The affinity of the pyrene adducts 35 and 36 depended on the position of substitution. Thus, the combination of 2-arylethynyl, $5^{\prime}-N$-methyluronamide, and $N^{6}-3$ chlorobenzyl substitution preserved the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ selectivity of $(N)$-methanocarba nucleosides, even for large 2-arylethynyl moieties.

Species differences in the binding affinity of the nucleoside derivatives were explored. Nanomolar $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity was maintained in a murine species only for the $N^{6}$-(substituted benzyl) series (Figure 1). The $N^{6}$-methyl-2-arylethynyl derivatives 13 and 14 were determined to be $\sim 70$-fold weaker at the $\mathrm{mA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ than at the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$, consistent with the previously reported reduced affinity at $\mathrm{mA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ of $\mathrm{N}^{6}$-methyl-2-Cl derivative $8 .{ }^{21}$ The $m A_{3} A R / m A_{1} A R$ selectivity in the $N^{6}$ methyl series was enhanced with the elongation and rigidification of the C2 substituent. However, with $N^{6}-3-$ chlorobenzyl substitution, elongation at C 2 did not significantly reduce affinity at the $\mathrm{mA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ compared to $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ (except for a 3 -fold reduction for the elongated biaryl derivative 34 ). In the $N^{6}$-3-chlorobenzyl series, particularly high selectivity compared to $\mathrm{mA}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ and $\mathrm{mA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ was generally present. There was greater variability in the degree of binding inhibition at $\mathrm{mA}_{1} A R$ than at $\mathrm{mA}_{2 A} \mathrm{AR}$. Elongation of a 2-ethynyl group in 6 with a straight alkyl chain in 7 reduced $\mathrm{mA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity by 7 -fold, but elongation with a phenyl ring in 27 only slightly reduced

Table 1. Binding Affinity of a Series of ( $N$ )-Methanocarba Adenosine Derivatives at Three Subtypes of hARs and the Functional Efficacy at the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$


3-8


9-26 ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ),
27-36 ( $\left.\mathrm{R}^{2}=3-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Bn}\right)$

| Compd | Structure |  | Affinity ( $\left.\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{nM}\right)$ or \% inhibition ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | \%Efficacy ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ or $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ |
| $3{ }^{\text {c }}$ | Cl | $3-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | $260 \pm 60$ | $2300 \pm 100$ | $0.29 \pm 0.04$ | $103 \pm 7$ |
| $4^{\text {c,d }}$ | Cl | $3-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | $136 \pm 22$ | $784 \pm 97$ | $1.5 \pm 0.2$ | 100 |
| $5^{\text {c }}$ | H | $3-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | $700 \pm 270$ | $6200 \pm 100$ | $2.4 \pm 0.5$ | 100 |
| $6^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ | $3-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | $174 \pm 23$ | (48\%) | $1.30 \pm 0.38$ | ND |
| $7{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $3-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | $1040 \pm 83$ | (80\%) | $0.82 \pm 0.20$ | ND |
| $8^{\text {c,d }}$ | Cl | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $2100 \pm 1700$ | (6\%) | $2.2 \pm 0.6$ | ND |
| 9 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $(13 \% \pm 6 \%)$ | (14\% ${ }^{\text {( }}$ \%) | $0.85 \pm 0.22$ | $89.3 \pm 7.7$ |
| 10 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $(11 \% \pm 4 \%)$ | (13\% $\pm 4 \%$ ) | $1.01 \pm 0.36$ | $86.8 \pm 9.2$ |
| 11 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | (21\% $\pm 4 \%$ ) | (17\% $\pm 2 \%$ ) | $0.97 \pm 0.38$ | $97.7 \pm 9.1$ |
| 12 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | (12\% $\pm 2 \%$ ) | (10\% $\pm 5 \%$ ) | $0.97 \pm 0.24$ | $95.8 \pm 6.7$ |
| 13 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | (21\% ${ }^{(11 \% \text { ) }}$ | (19\% $\pm 3 \%$ ) | $0.53 \pm 0.09$ | $80.3 \pm 5.8$ |
| 14 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | (27\% $\pm 7 \%$ ) | (30\% $\pm 5 \%$ ) | $0.58 \pm 0.04$ | $84.2 \pm 6.2$ |
| $15^{\text {e }}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | (10\% $\pm 2 \%$ ) | $1270 \pm 300$ | $1.60 \pm 0.60$ | $90.9 \pm 1.9$ |
| 16 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | (14\% $\pm 1 \%$ ) | (30\% $\pm 1 \%)$ | $1.22 \pm 0.31$ | $97.4 \pm 9.1$ |
| 17 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | (13\% ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | (26\% $\pm 1 \%$ ) | $0.91 \pm 0.06$ | $97.5 \pm 12.3$ |
| 18 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | (10\% 5 5\%) | (19\% $\pm 14 \%)$ | $1.07 \pm 0.14$ | $109 \pm 4.1$ |
| 19 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $(6 \% \pm 3 \%)$ | (6\% ${ }^{(1)}$ | $1.65 \pm 0.08$ | $108 \pm 1.9$ |

## Table 1. continued

| Compd | Structure |  | Affinity ( $\left.\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{nM}\right)$ or \% inhibition ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | \%Efficacy ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ or $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ |
| 20 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | (8\% $\pm 3 \%$ ) | (47\% $\pm 4 \%$ ) | $1.66 \pm 0.36$ | $99.6 \pm 3.3$ |
| 21 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | (13\% $\pm 3 \%)$ | (38\% $\pm 5 \%$ ) | $3.78 \pm 1.16$ | $110 \pm 4.9$ |
| 22 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | ( $23 \% \pm 5 \%$ ) | (7\% $\pm 5 \%$ ) | $10.1 \pm 1.9$ | $78.4 \pm 6.5$ |
| $23^{\text {e }}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | (15\% ${ }^{\text {( }}$ \% $)$ | $5300 \pm 600$ | $2.57 \pm 0.78$ | $87.4 \pm 9.9$ |
| 24 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | ( $21 \% \pm 6 \%$ ) | (29\% $\pm 8 \%$ ) | $3.10 \pm 1.26$ | $110 \pm 2.9$ |
| 25 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | ( $25 \% \pm 1 \%$ ) | (34\% $\pm 8 \%$ ) | $1.67 \pm 0.18$ | $94.6 \pm 4.4$ |
| 26 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | (15\% $\pm 5 \%)$ | (52\% $\pm 1 \%$ ) | $3.48 \pm 1.36$ | $108 \pm 3.5$ |
| 27 |  | $3-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | ( $20 \% \pm 3 \%$ ) | (27\% $\pm 3 \%$ ) | $1.34 \pm 0.30$ | $101 \pm 5.9$ |
| $28^{\text {e }}$ |  | $3-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | ( $20 \% \pm 6 \%$ ) | (42\% $\pm 2 \%$ ) | $2.16 \pm 0.34$ | $102 \pm 1.4$ |
| 29 |  | $3-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | (19\% | ( $52 \% \pm 12 \%$ ) | $1.92 \pm 0.57$ | $103 \pm 1.5$ |
| $30^{\text {e }}$ |  | $3-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | (4\% $44 \%$ ) | $1740 \pm 590$ | $4.45 \pm 1.39$ | $91.5 \pm 11.4$ |
| $31{ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | $3-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | (6\% $\pm 4 \%$ ) | ( $41 \% \pm 10 \%$ ) | $3.49 \pm 1.84$ | $95.7 \pm 6.4$ |
| 32 |  | $3-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | $1520 \pm 300$ | (44\% $\pm 4 \%$ ) | $2.27 \pm 0.70$ | $76.6 \pm 13.1$ |
| 33 |  | $3-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | (6\% $\pm 5 \%$ ) | (38\% $\pm 5 \%$ ) | $6.75 \pm 2.78$ | $86.7 \pm 5.4$ |
| 34 |  | $3-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | ( $2 \% \pm 2 \%$ ) | (0\% $\pm 0 \%$ ) | $3.06 \pm 1.35$ | $89.0 \pm 4.5$ |
| $35^{\text {e }}$ |  | $3-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | (8\% $\pm 2 \%$ ) | $3110 \pm 530$ | $68.3 \pm 12.5$ | $77.8 \pm 11.6$ |

Table 1. continued

| Compd | Structure |  | Affinity $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{nM}\right)$ or \% inhibition ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | \%Efficacy ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ or $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 6}$ |  | $3-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Bn}$ | $(11 \% \pm 5 \%)$ | $(4 \% \pm 3 \%)$ | $660 \pm 170$ | $97.1 \pm 3.3$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ All experiments were done on CHO or HEK293 ( $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ only) cells stably expressing one of three subtypes of the four hARs. The binding affinity for $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 A}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{ARs}$ was expressed as $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values $\left(n=3-5\right.$ ) and was determined by using agonist radioligands ( $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] N^{6}-R$-phenylisopropyladenosine $\mathbf{5 2}$ ( $R$-PIA), $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] 2$-[ $p$-( 2 -carboxyethyl)phenyl-ethylamino $]-5^{\prime}$ - $N$-ethylcarboxamidoadenosine 53 (CGS21680), or [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] N^{6}$-( 4 -amino-3-iodobenzyl)-adenosine-5'-N-methyl-uronamide 54 (I-AB-MECA), respectively), unless noted. ${ }^{29-31}$ The percent value in parentheses refers to inhibition of radioligand binding at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}(n=3)$. ND, not determined. ${ }^{b}$ Unless noted, the efficacy at the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ was determined by inhibition of forskolinstimulated cAMP production in AR-transfected CHO cells. ${ }^{32-34}$ At a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, in comparison to the maximal effect of $5^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}$ ethylcarboxamidoadenosine $48(=100 \%)$ at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Data are expressed as the mean $\pm$ standard error $(n=3)$. ${ }^{c}$ Values from Lee et al. and Tchilibon et al. ${ }^{15,16}{ }^{d}$ Values from Melman et al. ${ }^{21}{ }^{e}$ The relative efficacy ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ (cyclic AMP accumulation) was $20-30 \%$ of full agonist 48.

Table 2. Binding Affinity of a Series of ( $N$ )-Methanocarba Adenosine Derivatives at Three Subtypes of mARs

|  | affinity $\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{nM}\right)$ or $\%$ inhibition ${ }^{a}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| compd | $\mathrm{mA}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~mA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ | $\mathrm{~mA}_{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ | $15.3 \pm 5.8$ | $10,400 \pm 1,700$ | $1.49 \pm 0.46$ |
| $\mathbf{4}^{b}$ | $7.32 \pm 1.5$ | $5,350 \pm 860$ | $0.80 \pm 0.14$ |
| $\mathbf{6}^{b}$ | $45.6 \pm 7.9$ | $(41 \%)^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.85 \pm 0.08$ |
| $\mathbf{7}^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ | $1390 \pm 430$ | $(42 \%)^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $6.06 \pm 1.21$ |
| $\mathbf{8}^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ | $55.3 \pm 6.0$ | $20,400 \pm 3,200$ | $49.0 \pm 3.9$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $(29 \pm 2 \%)$ | $(0 \%)$ | $37.7 \pm 1.1$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $(55 \pm 5 \%)$ | $(2 \pm 1 \%)$ | $37.2 \pm 2.0$ |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $(50 \pm 5 \%)$ | $(2 \pm 1 \%)$ | $1.23 \pm 0.14$ |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $(65 \pm 3 \%)$ | $(7 \pm 2 \%)$ | $2.38 \pm 0.04$ |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $(51 \pm 12 \%)$ | $(19 \pm 3 \%)$ | $2.64 \pm 0.22$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $(35 \pm 3 \%)$ | $(55 \%)$ | $2.39 \pm 0.38$ |
| $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $(14 \pm 3 \%)$ | $(27 \pm 2 \%)$ | $3.08 \pm 0.23$ |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $261 \pm 19$ | $(5 \pm 2 \%)$ | $0.82 \pm 0.06$ |
| $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $(18 \pm 3 \%)$ | $(22 \%)$ | $3.66 \pm 0.25$ |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $(41 \pm 6 \%)$ | $(6 \pm 1 \%)$ | $10.8 \pm 0.93$ |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $(8 \pm 2 \%)$ | $(64 \%)$ | $47.6 \pm 4.6$ |

${ }^{a}$ Competition radioligand binding assays using $\left[{ }^{[125} \mathrm{I}\right] 54$ ( $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and $\left.A_{3} A R s\right)$ and $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] 53\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}\right)$ were conducted with membranes prepared from HEK293 cells expressing recombinant $\mathrm{mA}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ or $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs. The data ( $n=3-4$ ) are expressed as $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values. The percent value in parentheses refers to the inhibition of radioligand binding at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. ${ }^{5}$ Values from Melman et al. ${ }^{21}$


Figure 1. Inhibition of binding of the radioligand $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] 54(0.3 \mathrm{nM})$ at the $\mathrm{mA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ by compounds $13,31,32$, and $34 . K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values are found in Table 2.
$\mathrm{mA}_{3} A R$ affinity. The most potent derivative at the $\mathrm{mA}_{3} A R$ was the $p$-aminophenyl analogue 32 with a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 0.82 nM . Curiously, although this derivative remained selective, its $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity was enhanced over other members of the series at both in mouse ( $K_{\mathrm{i}} 261 \mathrm{nM}$ ) and human ( $K_{\mathrm{i}} 1520 \mathrm{nM}$ ).

Functional data were determined in an assay consisting of $\mathrm{h} \mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$-induced inhibition of the production of adenosine $3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$ cyclic phosphate (cAMP) in membranes of CHO cells expressing the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ (Table 1). ${ }^{34}$ Inhibition by $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ NECA (48, 5'-N-ethylcarboxamidoadenosine) was set at $100 \%$ relative efficacy. The novel ( $N$ )-methanocarba $5^{\prime}$ - $N$-methyluronamide derivatives at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ were predominantly full agonists at the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$, with a few analogues showing relative efficacy of $80 \%$ or less ( $p$-fluoro 13, $t$-butyl-phenyl 22, and 1-pyrene 35 derivatives). A full concentration-response curve in $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ mediated inhibition of adenylate cyclase for 3,4-difluoro analogue 31 provided an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of $1.2 \pm 0.7 \mathrm{nM}$ (Figure 2), i.e., slightly more potent than its binding affinity. Although weak in binding, the pyren-4-yl derivative 36 was fully efficacious.

Molecular Modeling. A homology model of the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ based on an agonist-bound $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ X-ray structure ( PDB code $3 \mathrm{QAK})^{25}$ was used to study the putative interactions between the C 2 -substituted agonist 34 and the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$. The binding mode of 34 obtained after Induced Fit Docking (IFD) ${ }^{35}$ revealed the following binding interactions of the ligand (Figure 3). The key


Figure 2. Functional agonism tested in an assay of adenylate cyclase in membranes of CHO cells expressing $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$. Activity of the 2-(3,4-difluorophenylethynyl)- $N^{6}$-3-chlorobenzyl-5'- $N$-methyluronamide-$(N)$-methanocarba analogue $31\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right.$ value $\left.1.2 \pm 0.7 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. The full agonist $5^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}$-ethyluronamidoadenosine 48 was included for comparison (representing 100\% efficacy). The experiment was repeated three times, and the average is shown.


Figure 3. Binding mode (two different views with (A) wire helices and (B) tube helices) of linearly extended $N^{6}$-3-chlorobenzyl analogue 34 obtained after IFD to the $A_{3} A R$ homology model. The template was built based on the crystal structure of an agonist-bound $A_{2 A} A R$ (purple helices $)^{25}$ and adjusted for movement of TM2 based on other templates ( $\beta_{2}$-adrenergic receptor, brown helices; opsin, green helices). ${ }^{40,41}$ The most successful template for TM2 was the structure of opsin.
residues embedding the adenosine moiety of the agonists in the receptor binding site are mostly conserved among AR subtypes. The 3'- and $2^{\prime}$-hydroxyl groups were located in proximity to Ser271 (7.42) and His272 (7.43), respectively, and could form H -bonds with these residues. The NH group of the $5^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}$ methylcarboxamido moiety was involved in H -bonding with the side chain hydroxyl group of Thr94 (3.36). Both the 6 -amino group and the N 7 atom of the adenine ring H -bond with Asn250 (6.55). A $\pi-\pi$ interaction was observed between the adenine ring of 34 and Phe168 (EL2), while the side chains of Leu246 (6.51) and Ile268 (7.39) offered CH- $\pi$ interactions to the adenine moiety of 34 . The ligand-receptor interactions observed in the present model were in good agreement with the data of site-directed mutagenesis and with our previously published models of ARs, including the studies of AR agonists docked to the $A_{2 A} A R$ crystal structure. ${ }^{36-38}$ In the model obtained, the 3-chlorobenzyl ring of docked 34 was located in the hydrophobic pocket formed by Val169 (EL2), Met174 (5.35), Met172 (5.33), Ile253 (6.58), and Leu264 (7.35). More precisely, the $N^{6}-3$-chlorobenzyl substituent of 34 was locked in the hydrophobic pocket of the $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ AR among TM5, TM6, TM7, and EL2 by CH- $\pi$ interactions with the side chains of Val169 (EL2) and Ile253 (6.58). Ile253 (6.58) is not conserved among the ARs: in $A_{1}, A_{2 A}$, and $A_{2 B}$, the residue at position 6.58 is a smaller threonine. Moreover, favorable interactions between the backbone group of $\operatorname{Met} 172$ (5.33) and the chloro atom stabilized the compound in the binding site.

The arylethynyl substituent at the C2 position of 34 was oriented toward the extracellular part of the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ in close proximity to TM2. $A_{2 A} A R$ is characterized by four constraining disulfide bridges in the extracellular domains, unlike the $A_{3} A R$, which has only one disulfide bond in that region. Thus, we expected the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ to be more subject to reorganization of the TMs. The disulfide bond between Cys77 (3.25) and Cys166 (EL2) is conserved not only among the AR subtypes but also among the family A GPCRs, and it is crucial for the expression and the function of the receptors. This disulfide bond involving Cys 166 holds in place the backbone of two neighboring EL2 residues that are important in ligand coordination, i.e., Gln 167 and Phe168. Two other disulfide bridges of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ are between EL1 and EL2, namely, between Cys71 and Cys159 and
between Cys74 and Cys146. Another disulfide bond involves the two cysteines in EL3, Cys259, and Cys262. It has been speculated that the presence within the extracellular domains of the three disulfide bridges unique to $A_{2 A} A R$ is not crucial for the tertiary structure and the stability of the receptor but is indeed important for ligand recognition. ${ }^{39}$ From the structural point of view, from a comparison between the $A_{2 A} A R$ structure and other GPCR structures, the presence of the two disulfide bridges between EL1 and EL2 forced the extracellular terminal of TM2 toward the TM bundle, thus reducing the size of the pocket embedding the agonist C2-substituents.

The IFD procedure applied to these rigid, extended $A_{3} A R$ agonists at the $A_{2 A} A R$-based model of $A_{3} A R$ was unable to accommodate the long and straight arylethynyl substituent at the C2 position due to a steric clash with the TM2 residues. In particular, the phenyl ring of the $N^{6}$-methyl 2-phenylethynyl derivative 9, a high affinity agonist at $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$, was clearly clashing with Ser73 in TM2, suggesting that the orientations of the extracellular terminal of TM2 and the EL1 in the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR-based model of $A_{3} A R$ were not optimal for the accessibility of these methanocarba derivatives to the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ binding site.

New hybrid models of the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ (Figure 3) were built using different templates for the homology modeling of the extracellular part of TM2, namely, an agonist-bound human $\beta_{2}$ adrenergic receptor crystallographic structure (designated $\left.\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}-\beta_{2} \mathrm{adr}\right)^{40}$ and the structure of the opsin in the activated state (designated $A_{3} A R$-ops). ${ }^{41}$ In the hybrid $A_{3} A R$ model based on both the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ and the $\beta_{2}$-adrenergic receptor structures, the extracellular extremity of TM2 moved outward by about $4 \AA$ at the $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ atom of Ser73. This movement created a larger pocket for the C 2 arylethynyl substituents of the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ agonists, thereby allowing derivatives such as agonist 9 to dock in the binding cavity without steric clashes with TM2. Nevertheless, the docking of the $N^{6}$-methyl 2-biphenylethynyl agonist 24 to the binding site of the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}-\beta_{2}$ adr model did not produce any reasonable pose due to the steric clash between the distal phenyl ring of the compound and the residues in TM2. The unfit docked pose of 24 to the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}-\beta_{2}$ adr model of $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ suggested that a larger pocket for the longer C2substituted agonists was needed.

The outward movement of the extracellular terminal of TM2 in the hybrid $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$-ops model was by approximately $7 \AA$ at the $\mathrm{C} \alpha$ of Ser73, with the creation of a larger pocket for the accessibility of C 2 substituents of the present rigid chainextended $A_{3} A R$ agonists, such as compounds 24 and 34 . The docking poses of 24 and 34 in the $A_{3} A R$-ops model showed the biphenylethynyl moiety of the C 2 chain pointing toward the extracellular environment. The dihedral angle between the two phenyl rings was approximately $30-40^{\circ}$, making the C 2 chain noncoplanar with the ethynyl moiety. The biphenylethynyl side chain was stabilized by favorable hydrophobic interactions with residues in TM2, EL2, and TM7, namely, Ile268 (7.39), Tyr265 (7.36), Val72 (2.64), Leu264 (7.35), Phe168 (EL2), and the carbon chain of Gln 167 (EL2). The side chain of Gln167, after the IFD optimization, pointed away from the binding cavity, opening the cavity to the agonists. The docking pose of 34 showed the distal phenyl ring of the C2 chain between the carbon chain of Gln 167 and the aromatic ring of Tyr265. Tyr265 is in the wall of the binding pocket, but $\pi$ interactions with the ligand were not evident. Analogue 22, which deviated from planarity because of the branched $t$ - Bu group, was significantly less potent in $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ binding. The presence of a halogen atom at the $o$-, $m$-, or $p$-positions of the phenyl ring in the C 2 chain was studied in the binding sites of $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ models and the $A_{2 A} A R$ crystal structure in order to understand the gain of affinity of compounds 15 and 30 at the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$. The $m-\mathrm{Cl}$ atoms of the C2 side chain of 15 and 30 in the predicted orientations in the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$-ops model were located between Tyr271 and Gln 167 (EL2), locked in the pocket by interactions between the halogen atom of the compounds and the OH group of Tyr271 and the side chain CO group of Gln 167. The corresponding residue of Gln 167 in human $A_{2 A} A R$ is the hydrophobic and bulky Leu167. Nevertheless, in the docked poses of compounds 15 and 30 in the binding site of $A_{2 A} A R$, the $m-\mathrm{Cl}$ atom was able to generate strong interactions with the hydroxyl groups and the aromatic moieties of Tyr271 (7.35) and Tyr9 (1.35) of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$. Instead, the orientation of the $o-\mathrm{Cl}$ atom of the docked agonists 14 and 29 was not optimal to create interactions with the side chain of Tyr 271 (7.35) of $A_{2 A} A R$. However, in the docked complexes of 14 and 29 with the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$-ops model, the $o$ - Cl atom was located between Tyr265 (7.35) and Val169 (EL2), creating favorable interactions with the OH group of Tyr 265 and the backbone NH group of Val169. The residue corresponding to Val169 in the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ is Glu169, whose side chain was oriented such that the $\gamma$-carboxyl group interacted with the backbone NH group, i.e., no longer available to interact with the $o-\mathrm{Cl}$ atom of 14 or 29.

## - DISCUSSION

In previous studies, ${ }^{13}$ the SAR in AR binding was studied for two series of ribonucleosides that are particularly suited for selectivity at the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR: $N^{6}$-methyl and $N^{6}-3$-halobenzyl. $N^{6}-3-$ Halobenzyl substitution is greatly favored for maintaining the selectivity of ribosides at the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ in mouse and rat. Upon addition of the ( $N$ )-methanocarba modification, ${ }^{21}$ a rise in affinity was observed at the $\mathrm{mA}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ for certain 2-chloroadenine analogues, leading to a reduction of AR selectivity in murine species. However, straight chain alkynyl groups at the C2 position, such as pentynyl 7 , alleviated this problem by reducing affinity at the $\mathrm{mA}_{1} A R$. Substitution with 2 -arylethynyl groups was not examined in the $(N)$-methanocarba series of $A_{3} A R$
agonists by Melman et al., ${ }^{21}$ but we have introduced this modification in the present study.

Previously, in the ribose-5'-uronamide series, combination of 2-phenylalkynyl groups with various bulky $N^{6}$ substituents was not additive in its effect on $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity. In a series of $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ selective $N^{6}$-arylurea derivatives, a 2 -phenylalkynyl group reduced the $\mathrm{rA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity in comparison to a 2 -chloro analogue containing the same bulky $N^{6}$-arylurea, although selectivity was increased. ${ }^{42}$ The combination of $N^{6}$-(substituted benzyl) groups and a 2-phenylethynyl modification produced much lower affinity in $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ binding than the same structure with H at the C 2 position. ${ }^{24}$ A study of 2-pyrazolyl- $\mathrm{N}^{6}$ substituted adenosine derivatives concluded that bulky substitutions at those two positions generally did not benefit from additivity in $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ binding affinity. ${ }^{13 \mathrm{c}}$

We have now explored the effects of various 2-(arylethynyl) groups at the adenine C2 position on AR affinity of ( $N$ )methanocarba $5^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}$-methyluronamido nucleosides. The resulting analogues (both $N^{6}$-methyl and $N^{6}$-3-halobenzyl) were full agonists of the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ of nanomolar affinity that were consistently highly selective (typically $>1000$-fold vs $\mathrm{hA}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ and $h A_{2 A} A R$ ). The most potent and selective $N^{6}$-methyl compounds were $p-\mathrm{F} 13$ and $o-\mathrm{Cl} 14$ analogues. At the $\mathrm{mA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$, selectivity generally remained, but for $N^{6}$-methyl derivatives, the high affinities achievable were somewhat lower than those at $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$. Thus, the combination of a 2 -(arylethynyl) group and large $N^{6}$ substitutions was better tolerated at the $A_{3} A R$ in the methanocarba series than in the 9 -riboside series, as characterized in earlier reports. There is also a broad flexibility of substitution of the 2-(arylethynyl) moiety, with halo, hydrophobic, and hydrophilic substitutions without losing $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ selectivity. This feature could also benefit specific pharmacological characteristics, such as pharmacokinetic properties. The 3,4-difluoro substitution of 31 might impede possible in vivo metabolic transformation of this ring (cf. P2 $\mathrm{Y}_{12}$ receptor antagonist Ticagrelor ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ ).

The pharmacokinetic properties of these analogues have not been measured. However, they represent an increase in hydrophobicity, as well as selectivity, in comparison to most widely used $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ agonists. For example, the cLog P values of potent 2-chlorophenylethynyl 29, 4-fluorophenylethynyl 30, and 3,4-fluorophenylethynyl 31 derivatives are 4.65, 4.08, and 4.15 , respectively. The cLog P values of more polar ribosides 1 and 2 are 0.48 and 1.20, respectively, which is possibly less desirable for full bioavailability. The total polar surface area of 29 is $121.9 \AA^{2}$, which is a more favorable value for one of the physical parameters that predicts drug-likeness compared to $131.1 \AA^{2}$ for both $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2 .}^{43}$

Even large, planar polyaromatic groups at C2 (separated by an acetylene moiety) were tolerated and retained nanomolar affinity. In binding to the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$, a 2-(2-(naphth-1-yl)ethyloxy) analogue bound with high affinity, and the location of the naphthyl group was predicted by docking. ${ }^{44}$ In the present study, the size of the polycyclic C2 groups, i.e., in the potent phenanthrene-ethynyl derivative 26, exceeded that of all previous AR ligands. The 1-pyrene derivative 35 and its less potent 4-pyrene isomer 36 represent different sites of attachment of the 2 -ethynyl moiety to the same large polyaromatic group. In receptor binding experiments, differences were observed that could provide insight into the geometry of the binding site considering the spatial and conformational constraints of these agonists. The 4-pyrene
derivative 36 (with one additional ring added) has a close analogue in the $N^{6}$-methyl series, i.e., 26.

These observations were subjected to molecular modeling analysis, which also predicted useful analogues to be synthesized. The ribose moiety is tightly anchored through a H-bond network involving TM3 and TM7, and the geometry of the unnatural glycosidic bond to the nucleobase is restricted. Thus, there is little angular flexibility of the extended, rigid C2 substituents. Thus, anchoring of the adenosine moiety to conserved amino acid residues provides a framework for exploring the region surrounding the linearly extended C 2 substituent. The placement of a 2-arylethynyl substituent in the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ binding site was predicted by Dal Ben et al. using the inactive structure of the $h A_{2 A} A R$ as template, and the orientation is similar to that found here. ${ }^{23 \mathrm{~b}}$ However, the present study predicts the docking mode with greater detail and confidence because the homology model is based on the agonist-bound $A_{2 A} A R$ structure. ${ }^{25}$ With the present set of rigidified analogues, we have located a very large hydophobic pocket on the receptor that depends on an outward diplacement of TM2 in order to accommodate multiple fused rings. Thus, the binding would be allowed by the plasticity of the receptor structure to attain a ligand-specific reorganization. The reduction of $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity for the larger C 2 substituents in 35 and 36 suggests that although TM2 could shift position to accommodate such groups, there is an energetic cost for these more bulky planar polyaromatic groups. As the movement of TM2 increases, some stabilizing interactions with other regions of the receptor would be progressively lost.

The $A_{3} A R$ homology model built based exclusively on the crystal structure of an agonist-bound $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ required an adjustment of the position of TM2, which was based on the orientation of TM2 in other agonist-bound or activated GPCR templates, i.e., the $\beta_{2}$-adrenergic receptor or opsin. The most successful template for TM2 was the structure of opsin, which displaced the upper part of TM2 relative to the agonist-bound $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ by $\sim 7 \AA$. Thus, we predict an outward displacement of TM2 similar to the opsin structure, which is specific for agonists containing rigid C2 extensions. The inability of the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ to undergo this rearrangement might contribute to the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ selectivity of these compounds.

The displacement of one or more TMs to accommodate a sterically bulky ligand, assuming that there are no other constraints on the helix such as a proximal disulfide bond, might be a general phenomenon in GPCRs. The ability of the helices to readjust position or "breathe" to enable a larger ligand to bind has already been proposed, specifically with respect to the "multi-conformational space of the antagonistlike state of the human $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ receptor". ${ }^{45}$ The example of altered $A_{2 A} A R$ conformation specific to the binding of a sterically extended agonist 6-(2,2-diphenylethylamino)-9( $(2 R, 3 R, 4 S, 5 S)$-5-(ethylcarbamoyl)-3,4-dihydroxytetrahydrofur-an-2-yl)-N-(2-(3-(1-(pyridin-2-yl)piperidin-4-yl)ureido)ethyl)$9 H$-purine-2-carboxamide (59, UK-432097) was already documented in the X-ray structure. ${ }^{25}$ In particular, outward movements of EL3 and extracellular portion of TM7 were associated exclusively with this bulky, multifunctionalized agonist.

The implications for receptor coupling and signaling of this type of displacement, such as the agonist-dependent movement of TM2 that we predict here, are unknown. If this conformational change associated with a family of ligands is propagated to the intracellular regions, we speculate that there
could be differential effects on multiple signaling pathways and might eventually provide a rational basis for the design of biased GPCR agonists.

The enhancement of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity in the 2-(3-chlorophenylethynyl) analogues 15 and 30 indicated a consistent interaction with a specific site on the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$. Modeling analysis suggested halogen $-\pi$ interactions ${ }^{46}$ with two tyrosine residues in the C 2 binding cavity of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$, namely, Tyr 271 (7.36) and Tyr9 (1.35), to explain the activity of the 2-(3chlorophenylethynyl) methanocarba adenosine derivatives 15 and 30 at the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$.

The freedom to insert polyaromatic ring systems on the 2ethynyl group suggests inclusion of reporter groups, such as fluorescent dye moieties at this site. In fact, the pyren-1-yl analogue 35 is highly fluorescent and could be explored as spectroscopic probes of moderate affinity for receptor binding experiments. The fluorescent properties of pyrene are sensitive to the environment. The $p$-amino derivative 32 has a high affinity at both the $\mathrm{mA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$, and could potentially be derivatized for radioisotope incorporation or affinity crosslinking. We are also interested in the design of radiofluorinated nucleosides for positron emission tomographic (PET) imaging of the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ in vivo. ${ }^{47}$ Several fluorinated species in this study could provide the basis for incorporation of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$ into a high affinity $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ agonist.

In conclusion, we have found a new series of highly potent and selective series of $A_{3} A R$ agonists containing combined substitution of the ( $N$ )-methanocarba ring system and arylethynyl groups at the adenine C 2 position. The binding affinities demonstrated high tolerance of steric bulk and ring substitution by extension at the C2 position, with many aryl groups providing $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values in the nanomolar range. Molecular modeling suggests that this reflects conformational plasticity of the $A_{3} A R$. Potent agonist ligands should be useful in future pharmacological studies of the antiinflammatory, anticancer, and antiischemic properties of $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ agonists.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Chemical Synthesis. Materials and Instrumentation. L-Ribose and other reagents and solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Alcohol derivative 39 was prepared as reported. ${ }^{28}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were obtained with a Bruker 400 spectrometer using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ as solvents. Chemical shifts are expressed in $\delta$ values ( ppm ) with tetramethylsilane ( $\delta 0.00$ ) for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and water ( $\delta$ 3.30) for $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$. TLC analysis was carried out on glass sheets precoated with silica gel $\mathrm{F}_{254}(0.2 \mathrm{~mm})$ from Aldrich. The purity of final nucleoside derivatives was checked using a Hewlett-Packard 1100 HPLC equipped with a Zorbax SB-Aq $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ analytical column ( $50 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$; Agilent Technologies Inc., Palo Alto, CA). Mobile phase: linear gradient solvent system, 5 mM TBAP (tetrabutylammonium dihydrogenphosphate) $-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ from 80:20 to 0:100 in 13 min ; the flow rate was $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Peaks were detected by UV absorption with a diode array detector at 230,254 , and 280 nm . All derivatives tested for biological activity showed $>95 \%$ purity by HPLC analysis (detection at 254 nm ). Low-resolution mass spectrometry was performed with a JEOL SX102 spectrometer with 6 -kV Xe atoms following desorption from a glycerol matrix or on an Agilent LC/MS 1100 MSD, with a Waters (Milford, MA) Atlantis C18 column. High resolution mass spectroscopic (HRMS) measurements were performed on a proteomics optimized Q-TOF-2 (Micromass-Waters) using external calibration with polyalanine, unless noted. Observed mass accuracies are those expected based on known performance of the instrument as well as trends in masses of standard compounds observed at intervals during the series of measurements. Reported masses are observed masses uncorrected for this time-dependent drift
in mass accuracy. Synthetic procedures for 45-47 are in Supporting Information. $\log \mathrm{P}$ and total polar surface area values were calculated using ChemBioDraw Ultra (version 12.0.3, PerkinElmer, Boston, MA).
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-2,3-Dihydroxy-N-methyl-4-(6-(methylamino)-2-(phenylethynyl)-9H-purin-9-yl)bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (9). A solution of compound 45 a ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 2 $\mathrm{mL})$ and $10 \%$ trifluoromethane sulfonic acid $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at 70 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The solvent was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was purified on flash silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ $\mathrm{MeOH}=25: 1)$ to give compound $9(21 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%)$ as a syrup. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-$ $1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 419.1832; found, 419.1818.
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-2,3-Dihydroxy-N-methyl-4-(6-(methylamino)-2-(pyridin-2-ylethynyl)-9H-purin-9-yl)bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (10). Compound 10 ( $80 \%$ ) was prepared from compound 46 following the same method as that used for compound $9 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91-7.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 420.1784$; found, 420.1797.
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(2-((2-Fluorophenyl)ethynyl)-6-(methylami-no)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1carboxamide (11). Compound 11 (82\%) was prepared from compound $\mathbf{4 5 b}$ following the same method as that used for compound 9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.20$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.9(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{FN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 437.1737$; found, 437.1753 .
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(2-((3-Fluorophenyl)ethynyl)-6-(methylami-no)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1carboxamide (12). Compound 12 (78\%) was prepared from compound 45 c following the same method as that used for compound 9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-$ $7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{FN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 437.1737; found, 437.1718.
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(2-((4-Fluorophenyl)ethynyl)-6-(methylami-no)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1carboxamide (13). Compound 13 (81\%) was prepared from compound 45 d following the same method as that used for compound 9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.18$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{br}$ s, 3 H ), $2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.41-1.39 (m, 1H). HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{FN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 437.1737; found, 437.1722.
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(2-((2-Chlorophenyl)ethynyl)-6-(methylami-no)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1carboxamide (14). Compound 14 (85\%) was prepared from compound 45 e following the same method as that used for compound 9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.86(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 453.1442; found, 453.1449 .
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(2-((3-Chlorophenyl)ethynyl)-6-(methylami-no)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1carboxamide (15). Compound 15 ( $82 \%$ ) was prepared from compound 45 f following the same method as that used for compound 9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 453.1442$; found, 453.1442.
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(2-((4-Chlorophenyl)ethynyl)-6-(methylami-no)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1carboxamide (16). Compound 16 (84\%) was prepared from compound 45 g following the same method as that used for compound 9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{t}$, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 453.1460$; found, 453.1454 .
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(2-((4-Bromophenyl)ethynyl)-6-(methylami-no)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1carboxamide (17). Compound 17 (76\%) was prepared from compound 45 h following the same method as that used for compound 9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{BrN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 497.0937; found, 497.0948 .
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(2-((3-Aminophenyl)ethynyl)-6-(methylami-no)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1carboxamide (18). Compound 18 (67\%) was prepared from compound 45 i following the same method as that used for compound 9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-$ $6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 432.1784; found, 432.1799 .
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(2-((3,4-Difluorophenyl)ethynyl)-6-(methyla-mino)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (19). Compound 19 (83\%) was prepared from compound 45 j following the same method as that used for compound 9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.48$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 455.1643$; found, 455.1639 .
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(2-((3,5-Difluorophenyl)ethynyl)-6-(methyla-mino)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane1 -carboxamide (20). Compound 20 ( $84 \%$ ) was prepared from compound 45 k following the same method as that used for compound 9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.09$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.09(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 455.1643 ; found, 455.1630 .
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(2-((4-Ethylphenyl)ethynyl)-6-(methylamino)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (21). Compound 21 (79\%) was prepared from compound 451 following the same method as that used for compound $9 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.88(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 447.2145 ; found, 447.2130.
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(2-((4-tert-Butylphenyl)ethynyl)-6-(methylami-no)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1carboxamide (22). Compound 22 (83\%) was prepared from compound 45 m following the same method as that used for compound 9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 188(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 475.2458; found, 475.2450 .
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(2-((4-Acetylphenyl)ethynyl)-6-(methylamino)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (23). Compound 23 ( $80 \%$ ) was prepared from compound 45n following the same method as that used for compound $9 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15$ (br s, 3H), $2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{t}, J=$
$4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 461.1937$; found, 461.1937.
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(2-(Biphenyl-4-ylethynyl)-6-(methylamino)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (24). Compound 24 ( $74 \%$ ) was prepared from compound 45o following the same method as that used for compound 9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{br}$ s, 3 H$), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.10$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 189(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 495.2145 ; found, 495.2141 .
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-2,3-Dihydroxy-N-methyl-4-(6-(methylamino)-2-(naphthalen-1-ylethynyl)-9H-purin-9-yl)bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (25). Compound 25 (73\%) was prepared from compound 45p following the same method as that used for compound $9 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00-7.90$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.79$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 189(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.38(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H). HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 469.1988; found, 469.2005.
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-2,3-Dihydroxy-N-methyl-4-(6-(methylamino)-2-(phenanthren-9-ylethynyl)-9H-purin-9-yl)bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1carboxamide (26). Compound 26 (65\%) was prepared from compound $\mathbf{4 5 q}$ following the same method as that used for compound 9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.84-8.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.66-8.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.66(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.90(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 519.2145$; found, 519.2137 .
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(6-(3-Chlorobenzylamino)-2-(phenylethynyl)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (27). $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(6.13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.008 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CuI}(1.2 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.004 mmol ), phenylacetylene ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and triethylamine $(60 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a solution of compound $44(26 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DMF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was roughly purified on flash silica gel column chromatography. The resulting compound was dissolved in methanol $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $10 \%$ trifluoromethane sulfonic acid $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, and heated at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The solvent was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was purified on flash silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}=25: 1\right)$ to give compound $27(17 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%)$ as a syrup. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-$ $7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.9(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 529.1755; found, 529.1740 .
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(6-(3-Chlorobenzylamino)-2-((4-fluorophenyl)-ethynyl)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]-hexane-1-carboxamide (28). Compound 28 (68\%) was prepared from compound 44 following the same method as that used for compound 27. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.63(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.16$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.9(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClFN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H})^{+}: 547.1661$; found, 547.1652 .
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(6-(3-Chlorobenzylamino)-2-((2-chlorophenyl)ethynyl)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (29). Compound 29 (65\%) was prepared from compound 44 following the same method as that used for compound 27. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.72-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.11$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: 585.1185 ; found, 585.1167.
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(6-(3-Chlorobenzylamino)-2-((3-chlorophenyl)ethynyl)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-
methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (30). Compound 30 ( $66 \%$ ) was prepared from compound 44 following the same method as that used for compound 27. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.66-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.88(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 563.1365$; found, 563.1359 .
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(6-(3-Chlorobenzylamino)-2-((3,4-difluorophenyl)ethynyl)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (31). Compound 31 ( $63 \%$ ) was prepared from compound 44 following the same method as that used for compound 27. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.58(\mathrm{t}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.06$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 565.1566 ; found, 565.1559.
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(2-((4-Aminophenyl)ethynyl)-6-(3-chloroben-zylamino)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]-hexane-1-carboxamide (32). Compound 32 (59\%) was prepared from compound 44 following the same method as that used for compound 27. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ClN}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 545.1041$; found, 545.1045.

3-((6-(3-Chlorobenzylamino)-9-((1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-3,4-dihydroxy-5-(methyl-carbamoyl)bicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-2-yl)-9H-purin-2-yl)ethynyl)benzoic acid (33). $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(3.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.004 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CuI}$ $(1.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.004 \mathrm{mmol})$, phenylacetylene $(18.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$, and triethylamine $(20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a solution of compound $44(12.68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DMF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was roughly purified on flash silica gel column chromatography. The resulting compound was dissolved in dioxane $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After completion of the starting material, the solvent was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was purified on flash silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{TFA}=25: 1: 0.1\right)$ to give compound $33(7 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%)$ as a syrup. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.18-8.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11-8.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.59-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-$ $2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 573.1653; found, 573.1646.
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(2-(Biphenyl-4-ylethynyl)-6-(3-chlorobenzyla-mino)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane1 -carboxamide (34). Compound 34 ( $68 \%$ ) was prepared from compound 44 following the same method as that used for compound 27. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.45$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.9(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.11(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}:$605.2068; found, 605.2083.
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(6-(3-Chlorobenzylamino)-2-(pyren-1-ylethyn-yl)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1carboxamide (35). Compound 35 (91\%) was prepared from compound 44 following the same method as that used for compound 33. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26-8.23(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 8.16-8.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.08-8.03(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 653.2068$; found, 653.2078.
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-4-(6-(3-Chlorobenzylamino)-2-(pyren-4-ylethyn-yl)-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,3-dihydroxy-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1carboxamide (36). Compound 36 (69\%) was prepared from compound 44 following the same method as that used for compound 33. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
8.29-8.21 (m, 3H), 8.16-8.12 (m, 4H), $8.04(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{ClN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 653.2068$; found, 653.2056.
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-Ethyl-(2,3-O-isopropylidene)-4-(2-iodo-6-(meth-ylamino)-9H-purin-9-yl)bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxylate (41). Methylamine hydrochloride ( $0.353 \mathrm{~g}, 5.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine $(1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of compound $40(0.528$ $\mathrm{g}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous methanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was purified on flash silica gel column chromatography (hexane/ethylacetate $=1: 1$ ) to give compound $41(0.470 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%)$ as a foamy solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05$ (br s, 3 H$), 2.25-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.49(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{IN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}: 500.1072$; found, 500.1075 .
(1S,2R,3S,4R,5S)-(2,3-O-Isopropylidene)-4-(2-iodo-6-(methylami-no)-9H-purin-9-yl)-N-methylbicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-1-carboxamide (43). $40 \%$ Methylamine solution ( 10 mL ) was added to a solution of compound $41(0.470 \mathrm{~g}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at room temperature for 48 h . The solvent was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was purified on flash silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}=40: 1\right)$ to give compound 43 $(0.360 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%)$ as a syrup. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.72$ (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{IN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$: 485.0798; found, 485.0803.

Pharmacological Characterization. The nucleoside derivatives, dissolved as stock solutions in DMSO ( 5 mM ) and stored frozen, were evaluated in binding ${ }^{29-31}$ and a functional assay ${ }^{34}$ at the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$, binding assays at the $A_{1} A R$ and $A_{2 A} A R$, and a functional assay at the $h A_{3} A R$ (details in Supporting Information). Use of heterologously expressed mouse ARs was as reported. ${ }^{33 b, 49}$ Protein content was determined ${ }^{48}$ and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in binding inhibition transformed to $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values ${ }^{50}$ as reported.

Molecular Modeling. The Homology Model module of MOE was utilized to build a new molecular model of the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ (details in Supporting Information). The recently reported model of the complex of the nonselective AR agonist 59 docked to the crystal structure of the $A_{2 A} A R$ was used as a template for modeling of the $A_{3} A R{ }^{25,51}$ The sequence alignment of the $A_{2 A}$ and $A_{3} A R s$ was performed with MOE, taking into account positions of highly conserved amino acid residues. The position of full agonist 59 inside the $A_{2 A} A R$ was taken into account during the modeling. In the resulting initial homology model of the $A_{3} A R$ (prior to movement of TM2), the complex $5^{\prime}, 2, N^{6}$ trisubstituted agonist 59 used to crystallize the $A_{2 A} A R$ was removed and the simpler 5 '-uronamide 58 docked inside the receptor. The Glide program of the Schrodinger package ${ }^{52}$ was used to dock the other agonists to the $A_{3} A R$ model obtained. The receptor grid generation was performed for the box with a center in the centroid of 58 in its initial position. The size of the box was determined automatically. The extra precision mode (XP) of Glide was used for the docking. The binding site was defined as 58 and all amino acid residues located within $5 \AA$ from 58. All $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ residues located within $2 \AA$ from the binding site were used as a shell. The following parameters of energy minimization were used: OPLS2005 force field, water was used as an implicit solvent, and a maximum of 5000 iterations of the Polak-Ribier conjugate gradient minimization method was used with a convergence threshold of $0.01 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot \AA^{-1}$. Another reference nucleoside 58 was also docked in this $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ homology model.

Hybrid $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ models involving movement of TM2, as explained above, were utilized to study the binding mode of analogue 34 and all other novel analogues in Table 1 using induced fit docking implemented in the Schrödinger package. Grid generation was performed for a cubic box with sides of $26 \AA$ and having a center in
the centroid of the agonist molecules. The default values were used for other parameters.
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AR , adenosine receptor; cAMP, adenosine $3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$-cyclic phosphate; CHO, Chinese hamster ovary; Cl-IB-MECA, 2-chloro-$N^{6}$-(3-iodobenzyl)-5'- $N$-methylcarboxamidoadenosine; DIPEA, diisopropylethylamine; DCM, dichloromethane; DMF, $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}-$ dimethylformamide; DMEM, Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium; EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; EL, extracellular loop; GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; HEK, human embryonic kidney; I-AB-MECA, $\mathrm{N}^{6}$-(4-amino-3-iodobenzyl)-adenosine- $5^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}$-methyl-uronamide; IFD, induced fit docking; NECA, $5^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}$-ethylcarboxamidoadenosine; HEPES, 4-(2-hydrox-yethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid; HRMS, high resolution mass spectroscopy; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; $R$ PIA, $N^{6}$-R-phenylisopropyladenosine; TEA, triethylamine; TLC, thin layer chromatography; TM, transmembrane domain
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